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## Background and Aims

About $85 \%$ of adults are right handed. ${ }^{1-2}$

- The Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (EHI) is the most widely used adult handedness questionnaire. ${ }^{3}$
- However, the EHI mixes different manual skill types and has insufficient actions to statistically determine handedness, potentially masking individual differences in handedness.
- Aims: Create a new measure of handedness that 1 ) distinguishes unimanual and role-differentiated bimanual manipulation (RDBM) and 2) samples actions sufficiently to statistically determine handedness.


## Methods

- Participants: FIU undergraduates ( $N=218$; 191 female) enrolled in Psychology courses were recruited via FIU SONA Systems. Data was collected online using Qualtrics.
- Home Handedness Questionnaire (HHQ):The HHQ includes 30 actions per skill type: unimanual actions using one hand (Figure 1a), and RDBM actions where one hand stabilizes an object for the other hand's manipulation (Figure 1b). Participants performed each action twice and self-reported which hand they used per action.

- Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (EHI): Includes 10 activities that mix unimanual and RDBM actions. ${ }^{4}$
- Handedness Index (HI) for the HHQ: HI scores were computed for HHQ-unimanual and HHQ-RDBM subscales using [HI=(R-L)/(R+L)], where $R=$ number of right hand actions and $L=$ number of left hand actions. Hand preference was determined using binomial $z$-scores where $z<-1.96=$ left preference, $z>1.96=$ right preference, and all other $z$-scores $=$ no preference.
- Handedness Index (HI) for the EHI: [HI=100x $(R-L) /(R+L)]$ was used in conjunction with cut-off scores: $>0=$ right preference, scores $<0=$ left preference, and $0=$ no preference according to standard practice.
- Analyses: One-sample $t$-tests were performed on HHQ-HI and EHI-HI scores to assess population-level bias for hand use.



## Take-Home Points:

$\checkmark$ The HHQ was more sensitive to individuals with no preference compared to the EHI.
$\checkmark$ A future direction will be to test the utility of the HHQ in preschool children.
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